

Panaji, 12th December, 2013 (Agrahayana 21, 1935)

SERIES II No. 37

OFFICIAL GAZETTE

GOVERNMENT OF GOA



PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Notification

Department of Finance
Revenue & Control Division

Notification

No. 2/1/95-Fin(R&C)Part(A)

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (4) of Rule 99 of the Goa Excise Duty Rules, 1964, the Government of Goa, being satisfied that it is necessary in the public interest, hereby directs that all licensed premises "for the sale of liquor" located within the jurisdiction of ward No. VIII of the village panchayat of Colva in Salcete Taluka and Ward No. IX of village Panchayat of Cortalim-Quelossim in Mormugao Taluka-Goa, shall be closed on 14-12-2013 and 15-12-2013 in view of bye-election to vacant ward No. VIII of village panchayat Colva in Salcete Taluka & Ward No. IX of village Panchayat, Cortalim-Quelossim in Mormugao Taluka.

The licensed premises having license for "Bar and Restaurant" may be allowed to keep the restaurant open for serving food only. However, the Bar counter shall be closed and no liquor shall be allowed to serve on the said days. The owners of the license premises having "Bar & Restaurant" shall also display a board in the premises that no liquor will be served and that the restaurant will be open for serving food only.

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Ajit S. Pawaskar, Under Secretary, Finance
(R&C).

Porvorim, 10th December, 2013.

No. 2/1/95-Fin(R&C)Part(B)

In exercise of the powers conferred by Sections 5 and 8 of the Goa Excise Duty Act, 1964 (Act 5 of 1964) (hereinafter called the "said Act"), the Government of Goa hereby prescribes that, notwithstanding anything contained in the Government Notifications No. Fin (Rev.)/2-35/SE/6/68/(A) dated 29-2-1972 and No. Fin(Rev.)/2-35/SE/6/65/(B) dated 29-2-1972, the following shall be the maximum quantity of liquor which can be transported from one place to another within the jurisdiction of Ward No. VIII of village Panchayat of Colva in Salcete Taluka & Ward No. IX of village Panchayat, Cortalim-Quelossim in Mormugao Taluka, by any person or which can be possessed by any person within said area without a permit issued in accordance with the provisions of the said Act and the Rules made thereunder, on 14-12-2013 and 15-12-2013.

- (a) Three quart bottles of Indian made foreign liquor other than beer and six bottles of beer for any person including his family.
- (b) Three quart bottles of country liquor for any person including his family.

This Notification shall remain in force only on 14-12-2013 and 15-12-2013. In view of bye-election of Ward No. VIII of village Panchayat of Colva in Salcete Taluka & Ward No. IX of village Panchayat, Cortalim-Quelossim in Mormugao Taluka.

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Ajit S. Pawaskar, Under Secretary, Finance
(R&C).

Porvorim, 10th December, 2013.

Department of General Administration

Notification

File No. 25/4/95-GA&C/4205

Government of Goa regret to announce that Dr. Nelson R. Mandela, former President of South Africa and a Bharat Ratna Awardee passed away on 05-12-2013. As a mark of respect to the departed dignitary, the Government of Goa declares State Mourning for five days from 6-12-2013 to 10-12-2013, both days inclusive throughout the State of Goa.

During the period of State Mourning, the National Flag will be flown at Half Mast throughout the State of Goa in all the buildings where it is regularly flown and there will be no official entertainment.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Ramakant R. Talkar, Under Secretary (GA-II).

Porvorim, 6th December, 2013.

Department of Labour

Notification

No. 24/14/2013-LAB/782

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (e) of Section 2 of the Goa Employment (Conditions of Service) and Retirement Benefit Act, 2001 (Goa Act 35 of 2001) (hereinafter called as the "said Act") and in supersession of the Government Notification No. CL/P.A./((107)/2001/4151 dated 20-09-2001, published in the Official Gazette, (Extraordinary No. 3 Series I No. 25, dated 21-09-2001, the Government of Goa hereby notifies the Deputy Labour Commissioner, Panaji, as the competent authority for the purposes of the said Act, with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Shashank V. Thakur, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 18th November, 2013.

Notification

No.28/1/2013-Lab/480

The following award passed by the Industrial Tribunal and Labour Court, at Panaji-Goa on 10-06-2013 in reference No. IT/103/07 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Meena Priolkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 10th July, 2013.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AND
LABOUR COURT

GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before **Smt. Bimba K. Thaly, Presiding Officer**)

Ref. No. IT/103/07

Workmen rep. by
The General Secretary,
Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh,
Tisk, Ponda-Goa. ... Workmen/Party I.
V/s

The Director,
Department of Tourism,
Government of Goa,
Patto Plaza,
Panaji, Goa. ... Employer/Party II.

Workman/Party I represented by Adv. Shri S. Gaonkar holding for Shri P. Gaonkar.

Employer/Party II represented by Adv. Shri V. Pangam.

AWARD

(Passed on this 10th day of June, 2013)

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) (for short The Act), the Government of Goa by order dated 15-10-07 bearing No. 28/36/2007-LAB/993 has referred the following dispute for adjudication.

- "(1) Whether the demand for regularization of services of Workmen employed on contract has is by the Department of Tourism, Government of Goa, Panaji-Goa. raised by the Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh is legal and justified ?
- (2) If the answer to (1) above is in the affirmative, then, to what relief the Workmen are entitled ?"

2. Upon receipt of the reference, IT/103/07 was registered. Notices were issued to both the parties under registered post, upon which both the parties were served. Party I filed the claim statement at Exb. 5. Party II filed the written statement at Exb. 14. Party I then filed the rejoinder at Exb. 15.

3. It is in short the case of Party I that Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh (Sangh for short) is a registered trade Union and almost all the workers working as life guards under Party II have joined the Sangh. That Party II is the State Government looking after and regulating the Tourism related activities in Goa. It has engaged life guards in all the beaches of Goa to protect the life of the Tourists and provide them safety. It is stated that the supervisors and some of the life guards are in the regular services and the life guards in the reference were initially appointed by Party II but only to deprive them the benefits of permanency, their wages were paid through Goa Labour Recruitment and Employment Society and the main function of the said society was to make the payments of the employees working in various State Government Departments and State Government Undertakings. According to Party I the above arrangements of making payment tentamounts to camouflage and is sham contract. It is stated that in the month of August, 2006 all the workers gave notice of strike demanding regularization of their services and in support of their demands went on legal strike w.e.f. 10-08-06 and the strike continued upto 08-09-06 however the strike was withdrawn w.e.f. 08-09-06 and the workers went to join duty w.e.f. 09-09-06 but Party II refused to allow them to resume their duties. Thereafter the matter ended in failure and the dispute was referred for adjudication to this Court. It is stated that except the wages all other control and supervision was done by Party II. It is stated that 68 life guards whose names are mentioned in Annexure "A" to the Claim Statement were on the role of Party II and their attendance was marked on the Attendance register of Party II. Party II also maintained the duty allocation and attendance register and the overall control over these life guards was with Party II. It is stated that power to transfer/posting was with Party II and thus it is clear that these life guards were the employees of Party II. It is stated that training and other fitness programmes for the life guards was conducted by Party II and the training orders were always issued by the Director of Tourism. It is stated that the Government always treated these life guards as the employees of the Party II and even

the Tourism Minister has even in answer to unstarred L. A. Q. stated that these life guards were employed by Party II and they were working from 1999 onwards. It is therefore the contention of Party I that only to deprive them the benefit of regularization of service, their wages were shown as paid through the society. Party I has therefore prayed for regularize their services, for a declaration that the workers are regular and they are entitled for the regular grades and wages as applicable to the regular life guards in the Department of Tourism and to award the wages for the period they were not allowed to join the duty.

4. In defence Party II has denied the case set up by Party I and has stated that the reference referred to this Tribunal is not an Industrial Dispute within the meaning of Section 2(k) of the Act; that Party II is not an industry and Party I are not the Workmen under the Act; that Party II is not an employer under Section 2 (g) of the Act; that the Government has failed to consider relevant material before making the reference for adjudication and that Goa Recruitment and Employment Society being the employer is a necessary party to these proceedings. It is also the case of Party II that in the year 1999 additional eligible life guards were appointed on contract basis including the members of Party I and their services were terminated after commencing of the monsoon season on 24-7-01. That an office memorandum dated 17-4-01 by Department of Personnel, Government of Goa, requesting all the Government Department to obtain the services, if required, of essential nature in Government Department to the said society was issued. That the members of Party I whose names reflected in the list of life guards annexed to the claim statement were appointed purely on contract basis by Goa Contract Labour Supply Society, now known as 'Goa Recruitment and Employment Society' (for short 'the society'). That in terms of the said memorandum the employees so engaged by the said society and supplied to the Party II would be responsible to the society and they would not be the Government employees and on termination of the contract, their services would revert to the said society for fresh deployment. It is stated that Party I is not entitled to claim leave or other facilities available to the Government Employees in terms of the said memorandum and also in terms of this memorandum Party I has the right to terminate the contract in case the members of Party I were found unfit and not suitable for the job for which their services were requisitioned by Party II or for any other reasons. It is stated that in terms of the said memorandum on

16-11-01 the eligible members of Party I whose names reflected at Sr. Nos. 1 to 27 were appointed on contract basis by the said society on the terms and conditions stated therein. It is stated that the said society supplied the eligible life guards as per the requisition of Party II. According to Party II the appointment of the members of Party I comes to an end when it is discontinued. It is stated that due to failure on the part of these life guards to attend duties w.e.f. 10-08-06, Party II by letter dated 17-08-07 informed the member secretary of the society to take action against the life guards appointed on contract basis and by memorandum dated 25-08-06 the society directed the life guards to join duties within 24 hours failing which their services would stand relieved automatically. That since the members of Party I failed to resume the duties within the stipulated time, the Party II by communication dated 15-09-06 requested the society to treat the contract of contractual life guards with Party II as terminated w.e.f. 10-08-06. That simultaneously Party I approached the labour commissioner for intervention in the matter. However, in the meantime Government took the decision to transfer the Beach Safety Management System including engagement of life guards on beaches to Goa Tourism Development Corporation Limited (GTDC), which is an independent legal entity. That on 21-10-06 GTDC published advertisement for recruitment of life guards on contract basis. That in the meantime on 30-09-06 Party I filed Writ Petition No. 464/2006 before High Court of Bombay at Goa praying to regularize the services of life guards. That after publication of the said advertisement Party I filed miscellaneous application in the above Writ Petition and sought to apply for the same and relaxation of age limit. That the above petition was then withdrawn by Party I with liberty to raise dispute under the provisions of the Act, which was allowed by the Hon'ble High Court. That pursuant to this conciliation proceedings were initiated but no settlement could arrive at and the conciliation officer submitted his failure report. Thereafter, GTDC submitted offer of appointment for the post of life guards amongst others to the members of Party I vide letter dated 31-8-07 with monthly emoluments of Rs. 6,000/- and the members of Party I i.e. 53 life guards after going through the same, joined duties with GTDC w.e.f. 21-09-07 and have been posted at various beaches of Goa. Thus, it is in short the contention

of Party II that Party I has not made out any case for any reliefs prayed in the claim statement and therefore to dismiss the statement of claim with costs.

5. In the rejoinder Party I has denied the case set up by Party II in the written statement.

6. On the basis of the above averments of the respective parties, issues dated 26-09-08 at Exb. 18 were framed:

7. Party I examined Shri Ravindra Kubal as witness No. 1 and Shri Dulo Naik as witness No. 2 and closed their case. On the other hand Party II examined Shri Swapnil Naik as witness No. 1 and Shri Krishna G. Naik as witness No. 2 and closed the case.

8. Heard learned Adv. Shri S. Gaonkar for Party I and learned Adv. Shri V. Pangam for Party II.

9. In his arguments Lnd. advocate for Party I submitted that the Party I/Workmen were on the roll of Party II and their attendance was marked on the attendance register of Party II, so also that Party II maintained the duty allocation and attendance register. According to him overall control over these life guards was with Party II and that power to transfer/posting was also with Party II and therefore these life guards were the employees of Party II. He further submitted that the training and other fitness programmes for these life guards was conducted by Party II and even the Government treated them as the employees of Party II and this submission was made by referring to the answer given to the unstarred L.A.Q. by the Tourism Minister. According to him, only to deprive him the benefits of regularization of service, the wages of these life guards was shown as paid through the society. In his submissions he relied on the judgment in the case of **Ram Singh and others v/s Union Territory, Chandigarh and others 2004 (1) SCC 126**, to highlight the factors required to determine the relationship of employer and employee. He then relied on the judgment in the case of **Steel Authority of India Ltd., and others v/s National Union Water Front Workers and others 2001-II-LLJ 1087**, to content that where a Workman is hired in or in connection with the work of an establishment by the principle employer through a contractor, like in the instant case, he merely acts as an agent and there is master and servant relationship between the principle employer and the Workman. Lastly by referring to the judgment in the case of **C.M. ONGC Shilchar v/s O.N.G.C.**

Contractual Workers Union 2008 II CLR 988, he tried to impress upon the court a “to how the judgment” in the case of **Secretary, State of Karnataka v/s Ummadevi AIR 2006 SC 1806** the ratio in which indicates that any public employment has to be in terms of the constitutional scheme, cannot be applied to the set of facts in the instant case as the ratio of any decision must be understood in the background of the facts of that case and that the case is only an authority of what it logically decides and logically flows from it. By referring to the above judgment in the case of O.N.G.C. he also made it clear that the Tribunal has powers to lift the veil for coming to the conclusion that there exist a relationship of master and servant between the principle employer and the Workmen.

10. On the other hand learned advocate for Party II submitted that the appointment given to eligible life guards in the year 1999 on contract basis were terminated after commencement of monsoon season on 24-7-01. By referring to office memorandum dated 17-4-01 issued by the Under Secretary (Personnel) he stated that all the Government Departments are required to obtain the services, if required, of essential nature in Government departments through The Goa Contract Labour Supply Society and in terms of this memorandum the employees so engaged and supplied to Party II are responsible to the society and further in terms of this memorandum Party II is empowered to terminate the contract in case the employees supplied are found unfit and not suitable for job. Thus according to him in terms of this memorandum the members of Party I who are so engaged by the society and supplied to Party II are not the employees of Party II and they are not entitled to the reliefs of regularization of their services, viz-a-viz Party II. Further by referring to the judgment in the case of **Ummadevi (Supra)**, he stated that the employment of Party I with Party II is not in terms of constitutional scheme and though the Government has right to engage persons in various capacities for a duration temporarily or on daily wages to meet the needs of situation without following the required procedure, such persons cannot be ordered to be absorbed or regularized in service since their appointment is without following due process of selection as envisaged by the constitutional scheme. By referring to this judgment viz-a-viz the facts of the instant case, he stated that when the person accepts the employment either temporary or in casual nature being aware of the nature of employment, he accepts the same

knowingly as like Party I/Workman in this case, and therefore no regularization or the services or such Workman can be demanded.

11. I have gone through the records of the case and have duly considered the submissions made by both the learned advocates. I am reproducing herewith the issues alongwith their findings and reasons thereof.

Sr. No.	Issues	Findings
1.	Whether the Party I proves that the said life guards are the employees of the Tourism Department of the State?	In the negative.
2.	Whether the Party I proves that the demand for regularization of these services of life guards is legal and just?	In the negative.
3.	Whether the Party II proves that it is not an industry within the meaning of Sec. 2(j)?	In the negative.
4.	Whether the Party II proves that these life guards are the employees of Goa Recruitment and Employment Society?	In the positive.
5.	What relief? What Order?	As per award below.

REASONS

12. *Issue No. 3:* This issue being related to the jurisdiction of this Court, is answered first since it goes to the root of the matter. In the written statement Party II has raised certain preliminary objections one of which is that it is not an industry within the meaning of Sec. 2(j) of the Act. Accordingly, this issue came to be framed casting burden on Party II of proving the same.

13. It is interesting to note that neither in the cross examination of the witnesses of Party I nor in the examination in chief of the witnesses of Party II, the fact of Party II not being an industry within the meaning of Sec. 2(j) of the Act has been brought on record. Being so, the pleadings relating to the above preliminary objection in the written statement, having not been deposed on oath, cannot be considered. This being the situation it has to be held that Party II has failed to prove this issue.

14. Be that as it may, in his arguments learned advocate for Party II submitted that the role of Party II is of promotion of Tourism and of

regulating Tourism activities in the State. He further stated that Party II is not the profit making establishment and therefore according to him it is not an industry. However, learned advocate for Party I by referring to the observations in the judgment in the case of **Bangalore Water Supply & Sewerage Board v/s A. Rajappa and others (1978) 2 SCC 213** made it clear that absence of profit motive or gainful objective is irrelevant for coming within the definition of Sec. 2(j) of the Act. Thus, it is clear that the arguments advanced by learned advocate for Party II on the subject of Party II being not a profit making establishment and hence is not an industry, cannot be accepted. It may be mentioned here that nothing else has been pointed out or brought to my notice by the learned advocate for Party II to indicate that Party II is not an industry within the meaning of Sec. 2(j) of the Act. Hence my findings.

15. *Issue Nos. 1 & 4:* Both these issues are answered together for the sake of convenience as they are interconnected. Though it is the contention of Party I that the said life guards are the employees of Party II no documentary evidence has been produced by the witnesses of Party I to establish that they were appointed by Party II.

16. *Witness No. 1* Shri Ravindra Kubal has stated in his affidavit in evidence that life guards in his reference were initially appointed by the Tourism Department but in his cross examination has stated that he does not have the letter issued by the Goa Tourism Department asking him to come for the interview. No doubt, he has also stated that he will be able to produce such letters issued to other life guards but it is noted that nothing has been produced thereafter by this witness. This witness was also shown an order dated 24-6-02 (Exb. 38) issued by Director of Tourism vide which the services of the life guards whose names are mentioned therein and who were engaged through Goa Contract Labour Society, Panaji were discontinued w.e.f. 1-7-02 F. N. until further orders and this order makes it clear that the life guards were engaged through Goa Contract Labour Society, Panaji. Nonetheless, the fact remains is that Shri Ravindra Kubal has not produced any document to show that he or other life guards were appointed by the Tourism Department.

17. As regards Shri Dulo Naik, witness No. 2 of Party I, he has stated in his affidavit in evidence that he was working as life guard since December, 1999 and in the year 2001 he was told that his wages would be paid through the Goa Labour

Recruitment and Employment Society (the society for short) and after completion of 3 years his services would be regularized by the department and he was also told that except the wages other conditions and the job allocation, supervision, training, transfer and disciplinary actions will be done by the Tourism Department of the State Government. In his cross examination he has admitted that he does not have any document to show that he was told that his wages will be paid by the Society and he also does not have document to show that he was told that after completion of three years his services will be regularized by the Department. He has admitted that there is no order or documents issued by Tourism Department stating that all other conditions of the job except the wages would be done by Tourism Department.

18. In the above context, it may be relevant to refer to Exb. 40 which is an order dated 17-12-1999 issued to Shri Dulo Naik, by the Director of Tourism engaging him as life guard on contract basis in the Department of Tourism w.e.f. 21-12-99. Shri Dulo Naik has admitted that this order clearly states that he was engaged as life guard on contract basis. He has also admitted in his cross examination that he has studied upto X std and understands English. He has stated that he read and understood the contents of Exb. 40. Thus, from the above admissions of Shri Dulo Naik it becomes clear that even his initial engagement as life guard in the year 1999 was on contract basis in the Department of Tourism and therefore there appears to be substance in the contention of Party II that the services of additional eligible life guards appointed in the year 1999 were terminated after commencement of monsoon season on 24-7-01.

19. There is otherwise no dispute on the part of Party I about existence of office memorandum dated 17-4-01 (Exb. 49) produced by Shri Swapnil Naik witness No. 1 for Party II, having issued by Under Secretary (Personnel) regarding registration of Goa Contract Labour Supply Society. The only grievance made by Party I as regards Exb. 49 is that to deprive them the benefits of regularization of services, their wages were shown as paid through the society which is a camouflage and sham in nature. It is also stated by Shri Dulo Naik that said arrangement of making payment was sham in nature and no legal requirements were complied before or after the commencement of the payments through the said society and therefore according to him it tantamounts to camouflage and sham contract. The above

statements made by the witnesses of Party I are denied by Party II in their cross examinations. It is however interesting to note that neither in the cross examination of Shri Swapnil Naik nor in the cross examination of Shri Krishna Naik who are both the witnesses of Party II it has been suggested by the teamed representative of Party I that the arrangement of making payment through the society was camouflage and sham contract and that no requirements were complied before or after commencement of payments through the said society. It was infact for Party I to have brought on record through the witnesses of Party II the required evidence to indicate that the same was a camouflage and sham contract and no legal requirements were complied before or after commencement of payments through the said society. Having failed to bring on record the required evidence despite pleading the said fact in the claim statement, it becomes clear that Party I has failed to prove the above aspect of the matter. This is because a particular relationship between an employer and employee is a genuine or a camouflage through the mode of contractor is essentially a question of fact to be determined on the basis of features of relationship. the written terms of employment, if any, and the actual nature of employment. The actual nature of relationship concerning a particular employment being essentially a question of fact, it has to be raised and proved before an industrial adjudicator, which the Party I has failed to do.

20. Nevertheless, reading of Exb. 49 gives a clear indication that the object of the Society was to provide employment to the unemployed weaker sections and to attain this objective society had engaged unemployed people on daily wages/contract basis or regular basis to render services to various Government Departments and this memorandum has also enjoined upon all Departments/Government Organizations not to engage any persons/labourer on contract basis other than those supplied by this society. Further, this office memorandum makes the employees so engaged by the society and supplied to the departments responsible to the society and they are not considered as the Government employees. This memorandum has also empowered the Government to refuse the contract labourer supplied by the society incase he/she is found unfit or not suitable for the job for which his/her services were requisitioned. I have already pointed out above that existence of this office memorandum (Exb. 49) or for that matter the clauses contained in it are not disputed or challenged and therefore

clauses in this office memorandum are required to be accepted as they are.

21. In the cross examination of Shri Ravindra Kubal a letter dated 24-12-01 (Exb. 37 colly) has been brought on record which letter is addressed by the member secretary of the society to Party II. This letter refers to the requisition made by Party II to the society and pursuant to which Shri Ravindra Kubal was sent for appointment as life guard. Thus, this letter gives a clear indication that the life guards were appointed by Party II after a requisition to that effect was sent to the society and which is obviously in terms of office memorandum at Exb. 49.

22. Learned advocate for Party I in order to canvass that Party II was exercising supervision and control over the life guards, invited my attention to the orders dated 10-1-02 (Exb. 20) and dated 29-4-2002 (Exb. 21) issued by Party II directing the life guards whose names are mentioned therein to report for the training programme and submitted that if the life guards were the workers of society there was no need for the Government to train them. He then by referring to the order dated 28-6-2000 (Exb. 22) relating to the transfer/postings of the life guards whose names are mentioned therein, to the order dated 7-10-02 (Exb. 23) relating to the postings of the life guards whose names are mentioned therein, to the order dated 16-10-02 (Exb. 24) relating to the transfer of the life guards whose names are mentioned therein, to the order dated 1-11-02 (Exb. 25) relating to the transfers of the life guards whose names are mentioned therein, to the order dated 14-8-03 (Exb. 26) relating to revalidation training course of the life guards whose names are mentioned therein, to the order dated 1-10-03 (Exb. 28 colly) regarding duties of the life guards whose names are mentioned therein, to the order dated 29-10-04 (Exb. 29 colly) relating to attendance or duty by life guards whose names are mentioned therein, to the order dated 30-6-05 (Exb. 30 colly) relating to attendance of duty by life guards whose names are mentioned therein and to the order dated 26-7-06 (Exb. 31 colly) relating to attendance of duties of the life guards whose names are mentioned therein, all issued by Party II, contended that in all the above orders the society has not played any role and on the contrary these orders satisfy the tests of supervision and control over the life guards by Party II and therefore according to him the life guards to this reference are the employees of Party II.

23. I have already pointed out above by referring to Exb. 49 that it makes it clear that the employees so engaged by the society and supplied to the departments will not be in any way Government employees. Having accepted the office memorandum alongwith its contents and without throwing any challenge to the clauses in the office memorandum, it would not be proper and justified for Party I to now say that they are the employees of Party II. This being the situation mere issuance of orders for training programmes, transfers/ /postings for revalidation training course and posting them at various beaches by Party II would not make these life guards the employees of Party II. This is more because it is also apparent from Exb. 21 and Exb. 23 that there is clear mention in these orders that the life guards whose names are mentioned therein have been deputed by the society and further Exb. 24 and Exb. 25 indicate that the transfers of the life guards whose names are mentioned therein have been the mutual transfers. Thus, reading of all the documents ranging from Exb. 20 to Exb. 31 colly alongwith office memorandum at Exb. 49 make it clear beyond doubt that the aforesaid orders were referring to the life guards deputed by the society.

24. Another important factor which makes me draw the conclusion that the documents ranging from Exb. 20 to Exb. 31 colly cannot be read to mean that they indicate the exercise of supervision and control by Party II over the life guards is the letter dated 5-10-02 (Exb. 51) produced by Shri Swapnil Naik, sent by the Member Secretary of the Society to Party II specifying therein the terms and conditions of the life guards supplied to Party II and condition No.7 in the same states that *"all employees on contract are under the direct administrative control of your organization and they shall obey the orders/instructions of your supervisory personnel"*. It is thus clear from above that Party II was required to exercise administrative control over the life guards deputed by the society. Thus, even for this reason the orders issued by Party II and which are ranging from Exb. 20 to Exb. 31 colly are to be considered as the ones issued while exercising administrative control over these life guards.

25. It is in not in dispute that payment to all these life guards was made by the society and it is apparent from the cross examination of Shri Dulo Naik that even the contribution towards Provident Fund was deducted from their salary by the society. This aspect weighs in favour of Party II to say that there is no employer/employee relationship between Party I and Party II.

26. It is the case of Party I that in support of the demands of regularization of their services all the workers went on legal strike w.e.f. 10-8-06 and that the strike continued upto 8-9-06 and after the matter of regularization was admitted in conciliation proceedings the strike was withdrawn w.e.f. 8-9-06 and they went to join the duty w.e.f. 9-9-06 but Party II refused to allow them to resume the duty. But according to Party II, since these life guards were absent w.e.f. 10-8-06 they addressed a letter dated 15-9-06 to the said society terminating the contract and as such the question of resuming the duties by Party I does not arise.

27. Shri Ravindra Kubal has produced copy of notice dated 8-9-06 addressed to Party II by the General Secretary of Sangh informing that the life guards have unanimously resolved to withdraw the strike w.e.f. 8-9-06 and they will resume their normal duties in their respective place of work w.e.f. 9-9-06. In view of denial of this fact by Party II in their written statement, it was the duty of Party I to have shown the above document to Shri Swapnil Naik in his cross examination. however Part I has not taken pains to do so. Shri Ravindra Kubal has also produced copy of letter dated 11-9-06 (Exb. 32 colly) addressed by General Secretary of the Sangh to the Deputy Labour Commissioner, Panaji, Goa informing that the life guards have withdrawn their legal strike and went to resume duty from 9-9-06 but were not allowed to resume their duty. It may be mentioned here that even the above fact was not brought to the notice of Shri Swapnil Naik in his cross examination. Contrary to above, Shri Swapnil Naik has produced copy of letter dated 17-8-06 (Exb. 52) addressed by Party II to the society informing that the life guards deployed by the society on contract basis have not been reporting to duty from 10-8-06 to date and requesting to take appropriate action. He has also produced copy of letter dated 15-9-06 (Exb.53) addressed by Party II to the society requesting to treat the contract of these life guards with the department as terminated w.e.f. 10-8-06. It deserves to be noted that there has been no effective cross examination of Shri Swapnil Naik on Exb. 52 and Exb. 53. That apart, in terms of office memorandum at Exb. 49 Government had every right to refuse the contract labourer supplied by the society incase he/she is found unfit or not suitable for the job for which he/her services were requisitioned. It is therefore clear that the letter at Exb. 53 was sent by Party II to the society by virtue of the aforesaid clause in the office memorandum. Thus, the question of Party I resuming the duties w.e.f. 9-9-06 does not arise.

28. It is the case of Party I that the Tourism Minister in answer to unstarred L.A.Q. No. 41 tabled on 29-9-03 has stated that these life guards are recruited by the Government, by enclosing their list and therefore according to Party I it is admitted that these life guards were employed by the Department of Tourism. Shri Ravindra Kubal has produced the document pertaining to said L. A. Q. No. 41 at Exb. 27 alongwith annexure 'A' which gives the number and names of the life guards recruited by the Government with places of their posting. In his cross examination Shri Swapnil Naik has admitted the existence of this document. To my mind, reading of this document does not give any indication that the life guards whose names are mentioned in Annexure "A" are the employees of party II as the answer given to unstarred L. A. Q. No. 41 has obviously to be read in the background of the fact situation relating to office memorandum at Exb. 49. Thus, Exb. 27 is of no assistance to Party I to establish that they were employed by Party II.

29. There is otherwise no dispute that Party I union had filed W. P. 464/2006 dated 3-10-06 (Exb. 42) before the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay at Panaji against State of Goa, Director of Tourism, the Goa Recruitment and Employment Society and Goa Tourism Development Corporation (GTDC) as Respondents Nos. 1 to 4 respectively with prayers amongst others to regularize the services of the life guards. It may be mentioned here that the name of GTDC came to be added as party to W.P. No. 464/06 subsequently by way of amendment. This is because of the Government decision to transfer the Beach Safety Management System including engagement of life guards on the beaches to GTDC. It is also not in dispute that on 21-10-06 GTDC published advertisement for recruitment of life guard on contract basis and after this publication Party I filed Misc. application number 747/06 in W. P. No. 464/2006. Shri Swapnil Naik has produced on record an order dated 7-11-06 (Exb. 55) passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay at Goa in MCA No. 747/2006 in W.P. No. 464/2006. Reading of this order makes it clear that the MCA has been filed by the members of Party I seeking to apply for the posts advertised by GTDC with relaxation in age limit. It is also not in dispute that W.P. No. 646/2006 was thereafter withdrawn by the petitioners with liberty to raise the dispute involved in the petition before an appropriate forum under the Industrial disputes Act, and the order pertaining to the same is dated 9-4-07 (Exb. 35). Thus, the above acts of Party I speak volumes on the subject of their stand claiming to be the life guards appointed by Party II.

30. In the case of Ummadevi (supra) relied upon by learned advocate for Party II it is held that any public employment has to be in terms of constitutional scheme and that the power of the Government as an employer is more limited than that of a private employer as much as it is subjected to constitutional limitations and it cannot be exercised arbitrarily. This judgment also indicates that the employments of persons done on temporary or daily wages basis to meet the ends of situations cannot be resorted to or used to defeat the very scheme of public employment. Since in the instant case discussion supra reveals that employment of these life guards with Party II is not a public employment, the observations of the judgment in the case of Ummadevi (supra) squarely apply to the instant case.

31. Though learned advocate for Party I by relying on the judgement in the case of ONGC (Supra) tried to content that the Tribunal can lift the veil and conclude that there exists a relationship of master and servant between Party I and Party II, on going through this judgment it is seen that the facts therein are totally different from the facts of the instant case. This is because, in the case of ONGC there was evidence amongst others to indicate that there was no contractor appointed by ONGC: that ONGC took disciplinary action and called for explanation from the workers so also the wages were directly paid to the workers by ONGC, which is not the situation in the instant case. That apart, unlike the situation in the instant case there was no office memorandum like Exb.49 in the case of ONGC. It may be mentioned here that Exb. 49 is the core document going to the root of the matter as it indicates the nature of employment between Party I and Party II. As observed in this judgment, it is correct that the ratio of any decision must be understood in the back ground of the facts of that case and that the case is only an authority of what it logically decides and logically flows from it, but as stated by me above, the set of facts in the instant case can be easily distinguished from the set of facts in the case of ONGC. That apart, in the case of ONGC by referring to the judgment in the case of **R.K. Panda & ors v/s Steel Authority of India & others 1994 II CLR 402 S.C.** it is observed that whether the contract labourers have become the employees of the principle employer in course of time and whether the engagement and employment of labourers through a contractor is a

mere camouflage and a smokescreen is a question of fact and has to be established by the contract labourers on the basis of the requisite material. As pointed by me supra, no efforts have been made by Party I to prove the above aspect of the matter. Thus, the judgment in the case of ONGC (supra) is not applicable to the instant case.

32. Coming to the judgment in the case of Ram Singh (supra) the observations in it rather assist to the case of Party II though this judgment has been relied upon by learned advocate for Party I to highlight the test for determining the relationship of employer and employee. It is observed in this judgment that in determining the relationship of employer and employee, no doubt "control" is one of the important tests but it is not to be taken as the sole test. It is also observed that in determining the relationship of employer and employee all other relevant facts and circumstances are required to be considered including the terms and conditions of the contract. It is also observed that it is necessary to take a multiple pragmatic approach weighing up all the factors for and against an employment instead of going by the sole "test of control". This being the situation coupled with the fact that in the instant case the life guards were deputed by the society in terms of office memorandum at Exb. 49 and also as the society have given liberty to Party II to exercise administrative control over these life guards in terms of Exb. 51, I am of the opinion that it would not be justified and proper to say that Party II exercised control over these life guards irrespective of the fact that even if such control was exercised, it could not be the sole test for determining the employer/employee relationship. Thus, this judgment is of no assistance to Party I to prove its case.

33. As regards the observations in the judgment in the case of Steel Authority of India (supra) the life guards in the instant case have been working for Party II in terms of office memorandum at Exb. 49 and also with clear instructions from the society to Party II to exercise administrative control over them. Thus, in such situation it cannot be said that the society has acted merely as an agent and hence there is master and servant relationship between these life guards and Party II. Thus, even this judgment cannot be accepted as advancing the case of Party I.

34. It therefore follows that Party I has failed to prove that the life guards to this reference are the employees of Party II or that there is employer-employee relationship between Party I and Party II hence my findings.

35. *Issue No. 2:* Having answered issue No. 1 in the negative and issue No. 4 in the positive the life guards in this reference are not entitled to demand regularization of their services and such demand raised is therefore not legal and justified. Hence my findings.

36. In the result, I pass the following

ORDER

1. It is hereby held that the demand of regularization of services of Workmen employed on contract basis by the Department of Tourism, Government of Goa, Panaji, Goa raised by Gomantak Mazdoor Sangh is not legal and justified.

2. Workmen/Party I are therefore not entitled to any relief.

3. No order as to costs.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/
(B. K. Thaly,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal-
cum-Labour Court.

Department of Law & Judiciary

Law (Establishment) Division

Order

No. 4-8-96-LD(Part file)/I/2105

On the recommendation of the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay, Government of Goa is pleased to appoint the Principal District & Sessions Judge, North Goa, Panaji to handle the matters of Administrative Tribunal as and when the regular President or Additional President cannot take up the matter for some reasons or other and recuses from hearing the matter.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vasanti H. Parvatkar, Under Secretary (Law-Estt.).
Porvorim, 4th December, 2013.

Department of Official Language & Public Grievances

Directorate of Official Language

Order

No. 4/3/DOL/RTI-2012 (III)/1126

In pursuance of clause 5 of the Right to Information Act, 2005, the following officers are hereby appointed as Public Information Officer and Assistant Public Information Officer in the Directorate of Official Language, to deal with the applications received from the public under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

Sr. No.	Designation	Officers designed under Right to Information Act, 2005
1.	Assistant Director (Marathi)	Public Information Officer.
2.	Head Clerk	Assistant Public Information Officer.

The Public Information Officer shall be responsible for the preparation and publication of the manuals and updating the manuals from time to time as per the said Act.

The Assistant Public Information Officer shall ensure that the applications received by him/her for information under the Right to Information Act, 2005 are submitted on top priority to the Public Information Officer so as to furnish the information to the applicant within the provisions of the Act.

The Director of Official Language will be the First Appellate Authority in pursuance of Clause 19(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005.

This order supersedes the earlier Order No. 5/3/2005/DOL/Right Inf. Act/267 dated 23-9-2005 published in Official Gazette, Series II No. 27 dated 6-10-2005.

Dr. *Prakash Vazrikar*, Director & ex officio Joint Secretary (Official Language).

Panaji, 5th December, 2013.

Department of Personnel

Order

File No. 10/20/2013-PER

Government of Goa is pleased to grant extension in service to Shri Vidal D'Souza,

Associate Professor in Health Education, Department of P.S.M., Goa Medical College, Bambolim beyond superannuation w.e.f. 01-12-2013 to 30-11-2014.

The extension is subject to termination without assigning any reasons at any time during the period of extension.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Rahammtulla Aga, Under Secretary (Personnel-II).
Porvorim, 29th November, 2013.

Department of Public Health

Certificate

No. 2/4/2013-IV/PHD

Read: Government Order No. 11/3/89-IV/PHD/5 dated 23-08-2013.

Certified that the character and antecedents of Dr. Ashwin Raghunandan Mysore, Lecturer in Prosthodontics and Crown and Bridge in Goa Dental College and Hospital, Bambolim-Goa mentioned in the above referred order have been verified by the District Magistrate, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa and nothing adverse has come to the notice of the Government.

Sangeeta M. Porob, Under Secretary (Health).
Porvorim, 21st November, 2013.

Department of Revenue

Notification

No. 23/14/2009-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for Imp. and B/T of road from MDR-49 joining Karashirmol, Parve, Panna & link road from Government High School to Mirandwada in V. P. Agonda in Canacona.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act the Deputy Collector & SDO, Canacona-Goa to perform the functions of a Collector, under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao-Goa.
2. The Deputy Collector & SDO, Canacona-Goa.
3. The Executive Engineer, XXV(R), PWD, Fatorda, Margao-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Deputy Collector & SDO, Canacona-Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Canacona *Village:* Agonda

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
129/3	Anjionaria Miranda. Jose Miranda.	150

1	2	3
	Joaquina Fernandes. Joso Vicent Miranda. Camilo Miranda.	
	OR C) <i>House belongs to:</i>	
	1) Cristin Cardoz.	
	D) <i>House belongs to:</i>	
	2) Antonio Luis Fernandes.	
	B) <i>Hut owned by:</i>	
	3) Anton Luies Fernandes. (Three)	
129/11	Marian Fernandes.	200
129/18	Govind Pandu Phal Dessai. Nilu Pandu Phal Dessai. Kushali Pandu Phal Dessai. Ganesh Pandu Phal Dessai. Vinayak Pandu Phal Dessai. Nilu Balsu Fal Dessai.	250
	OR E) <i>House belongs to:</i>	
	1) Jose Fernandes.	
	F) <i>House belongs to:</i>	
	2) Marian Fernandes. <i>Hut belongs to:</i> Marian Fernandes. (Three)	
129/30	Comunidade.	150
	G) <i>House belongs to:</i>	
	OR 1) Constance D'Costa. (One)	
129/29	Francisco Fernandes.	200
130/2	Comunidade	150
	Philip Marcelino Cotinho.	
130/5	Lalsang Pull Ruhle.	200
130/3	Comunidade.	2000
	Patric Fernandes. <i>Vahivatdar:</i>	
	OR 1) Sebastiao Costano Miranda.	
	2) Caitano Sebastiao Miranda.	
	3) Ligorio Bernatt Miranda.	
	4) Roc Ghorgen Miranda.	
	5) Mary Domingo Miranda.	
	6) Ana Mary Luis Fernandes E Miranda.	
	7) John Marshal Miranda.	
	8) Avelin Bernatt Miranda.	
	9) Lorcinha Bernatt Mirande.	
	10) Catarina Anton Miranda.	
	11) Philicia Milagra Miranda.	
	12) Joaquim Jose Miranda.	
	13) Joao Simao Miranda.	
	14) Pascol Sebastiao Miranda.	

1	2	3	1	2	3
	15) Luisinha Joaquim Miranda.			19) Sebastian Dias.	
	16) Olga Ligor Miranda.			(Nineteen)	
	17) Florecinha Joaquim		40/1	Shri Mallikarjun Devasthan.	500
	Fernandes.		39/101	Comunidade of Agonda.	600
	18) Inacio Sebastiao Miranda.			A) <i>House owned by:</i>	
	(Eighteen)			R/o 1) Babal Babani Kinalkar.	
130/17	Comunidade.	100		2) <i>House owned by:</i>	
	<i>Vahivatdar:</i>			Paul Jose Monteiro.	
OR 1)	Fransquinha Caitano			<i>Plot owned by:</i>	
	Fernandes.			3) Laxmi Vishnu Bandekar.	
	(One)			<i>House owned by:</i>	
130/10	Comunidade.	200		Inacio Fernandes.	
	<i>Vahivatdar:</i>			<i>Boundaries :</i>	
OR 1)	Anton Caitano Fernandes.			North : Nallah.	
	(One)			South : S. No. 130/30, 17, 18, 19,	
130/19	Comunidade.	150		113, 3.	
	<i>Vahivatdar:</i>			East : S. No. 144/10, 17, 143/1, 38.	
OR 1)	Jose Manuel Fernandes.			West : 129/3, 11, 18, 30, 29,	
	(One)			130/2, 5, 7.	
133/3	Comunidade.	2200			
	<i>Vahivatdar:</i>				
OR 1)	Govind Pandu Phal Dessai.				
	2) Nilu Pandu Phal Dessai.				
	3) Ganesh Fal Dessai.				
	4) Vinayak Pandu Phal Dessai.				
	5) Kushali Pandu Phal Dessai.				
	(Five)				
144/10	Cristina Cardoz.	200			
	Catarina Dias.				
	Rosa Piedad Dies.				
	Cristalina Cardoz.				
	Yermdina Dias.				
143/1	Comunidade.	200			
	<i>Vahivatdar:</i>				
OR 1)	Sebastiao Caitano Miranda.				
	2) Caitano Sebastiao Miranda.				
	3) Ligorio Bernatt Miranda.				
	4) Rock Domingo Maranda.				
	5) Mary Domingo Miranda.				
	6) Anamaria Luis Fernandes.				
	7) John Marestal Miranda.				
	8) Avelina Guge Miranda				
	E Fernandes.				
	9) Lorecina Bernath Miranda.				
	10) Catarina Anto Miranda.				
	11) Philicia Milagra Miranda.				
	12) Joaqui Jose Miranda.				
	13) Joao Simao Miranda.				
	14) Lucian Joqui Miranda.				
	15) Olga Ligor Miranda.				
	16) Pascoal Sebastiao Miranda.				
	17) Florecinha Joaquim				
	Fernandes.				
	18) Inacio Sabastiao Miranda.				
			Total: 7450		
			By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.		
			Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-II).		
			Porvorim, 2nd December, 2013.		

			Notification		
			No. 23/36/2013-RD		
			Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for construction of Solid waste Management Facility in Cacora Village of Quepem Taluka.		
			Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.		
			2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or		

otherwise or any outlay commenced for improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act the Deputy Collector & SDO, Quepem-Goa to perform the functions of a Collector, South Goa District, Margao-Goa under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao-Goa.
2. The Deputy Collector & SDO, Quepem-Goa.
3. The Director, Department of Science, Technology and Environment, Opp. Seminary, Saligao, Bardez-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Deputy Collector & SDO, Quepem-Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Quepem

Village: Cacora

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
164/8 (part)	O: Narottam Hiru Kakodkar.	120
165/1 (part)	O: Narottam Hiru Kakodkar.	1300
168/2	O:1. Govind Mahadeo Naik Karmali.	6175

1	2	3
	2. Krishna Dulba Naik Karmali.	
	3. Dinanath Vishwanath Cuncolienkar.	
	4. Damu Datta Naik Karmali.	
	5. Vinayak Gopinath Naik Karmali.	
	6. Sadanand Saklo Kakodkar.	
	7. Narottam Hiru Kakodkar.	
	8. Mohini G. Lotlikar.	
169/0	O: Shri Deu Vithal.	10300
<i>Boundaries :</i>		
North : S. No. 167, S. No. 168/1, Nall, Road, S. No. 164/8, S. No. 165/1.		
South : S. No. 167, S. No. 168/1, S. No. 164/8, S. No. 165/1, S. No. 170/1.		
East : S. No. 165/1, 2, Nalla, S. No. 167.		
West : S. No. 165/1, S. No. 167, S. No. 168/1, Nalla.		
		Total: 17895

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I)
(Link).

Porvorim, 2nd December, 2013.

Notification

No. 22/37/2013-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for setting up an Industrial Estate at Shiroda village in Ponda Taluka (Phase-II).

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with

any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act the Deputy Collector & LAO, Ponda to perform the functions of a Collector, North Goa District Panaji-Goa under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa.
2. The Director, Directorate of Industries, Trade & Commerce, Panaji, Goa.
3. The Dy. Collector & LAO, Ponda-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Deputy Collector & LAO Ponda, Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Ponda

Village: Shiroda

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
965	O: 1. Govind Shankar Prabhu Gaonkar.	27125

1	2	3
	2. Dr. Sakharam Gopal Gude.	
	3. Village Panchayat of Shiroda.	
	OR: <i>House belongs to:</i> Pandurang Gopinath Naik.	
974	O: 1. Vishwambhar Pundalik Prabhu Gaonkar.	11300
	2. Meghasham Pundalik Prabhu Gaonkar.	
975	O: 1. Govind Shankar Prabhu Gaonkar.	20800
	2. V M P Gaonkar.	
	3. Balkrishna Purushottam Gaonkar.	
979	O: 1. Vishwambhar Pundalik Prabhu Gaonkar.	47450
	2. Meghasham Pundalik Prabhu Gaonkar.	
	3. Govind Shankar Prabhu Gaonkar.	
	4. V M P Gaonkar.	
980	O: 1. Vishwambhar Pundalik Prabhu Gaonkar.	65625
	2. Meghasham Pundalik Prabhu Gaonkar.	
	3. Govind Shankar Prabhu Gaonkar.	
	4. V M P Gaonkar.	
987	O: 1. Vishwambhar Pundalik Prabhu Gaonkar.	15525
	2. Meghasham Pundalik Prabhu Gaonkar. 1/3	
	3. Govind Shankar Prabhu Gaonkar. 1/3	
	4. Vyankatesh Madu Prabhu Gaonkar. 1/3	

Boundaries :

North : Nala, Road, S. No. 978,
S. No. 977, S. No. 976.

South : S. No. 981, S. No. 964,
S. No. 959/1.

East : S. No. 973, S. No. 966/1, 2, 3.

West : S. No. 988, S. No. 986.

Total: 187825

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Ashutosh Apte, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).

Porvorim, 29th November, 2013.

Notification

No. 23/15/2013-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for construction of road from Valenta D'Costa house to Shantadurga Temple and upto Diago Noronha's house at Perxet in V. P. Guirim in Saligao Constituency.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act the Deputy Collector/SDO, Mapusa Bardez-Goa to perform the functions of a Collector, under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa.
2. The Dy. Collector/SDO, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa.

3. The Executive Engineer, W.D. XXIII(R), PWD, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa.

4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Deputy Collector/SDO, Mapusa, Bardez-Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

<i>Taluka:</i> Bardez		<i>Village:</i> Saligao
Survey No./ /Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
12/1 part	Shantadurga Temple Nandode.	985
12/3 part	1) Anacleto Alexandre Cruz 2) Frank Corte. 3) Sangeeta Y. Shetkar. 4) Filipe De Souza.	285
13/1 part	Shri Devi Shantadurga Nandode Deusthan.	635
14/1 part	Shri Devi Shantadurga Dewsthan, Calangute Nandode.	570
14/4 part	Diana Leandro Batista D'Souza.	85
14/5 part	Joanita Alzira Pereira e Fernandes. Silverio Fernandes.	70
14/5-A part	Luciano Baeano alias Luciano Tolentina Baeano. Shushila Olga Muriel Pereira alias Shushila Olga Muriel Pereira e Baeano.	100
14/6 part	Carrol Misquita Remedias.	190
14/7 part	Stepheny Carolina Josephine Dias. Victoria Noella D'Souza. Marian Belmira D'Souza alias Paintal. Neil Savio D'Souza.	50
15/1 part	Comunidade. Luies Noronha.	20
15/2 part	Diogo Noronha.	120
15/3 part	Urminda Zuzarte. Angela Mericia Zuzarte.	110
15/4 part	Shri Shantadurga Dewsthan Nandode Kalangute.	200
15/6 part	Diogo Noronha.	90

1	2	3
15/7 part	Umesh Desai. Sweta Umesh Desai.	75
15/10 part	Amelia Zuzarte. Suresh Khema Mandrekar.	330
15/13 part	Benjamin Noronha.	105
15/14 part	Amelia Zuzarte.	140
15/15 part	Claudinha Noronha.	15
15/16 part	Comunidade.	15

Boundaries :

North : S. No. 15/1 to 4, 6, 7, 16, Road,
S. No. 14/6, 7, S. No. 13/1,
S. No. 12/1.

South : S. No. 12/1, 2, 5 & S. No. 13/1
to 3, S. No. 15/2 to 4, 6, 7, 10,
14/1.

East : S. No. 15/1, 2, 3, 10, 15, 16,
S. No. 14/1, 5, 7, S. No. 12/3,
S. No. 13/2, Road.

West : S. No. 15/10, 13, 14, S. No. 14/1,
4, 5-A, Nalla, S. No. 12/1, 3.

Total: 4190

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-II).

Porvorim, 2nd December, 2013.

Notification

No. 22/9/2013-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 22/9/2013-RD dated 25-04-2013 published on pages 129 & 130 Series II No. 5 of the Official Gazette dated 02-05-2013 and in two newspapers namely (1) Gomantak and (2) Times of India (Goa Edition) both dated 01-5-2013, it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act"), that the land specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification was likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for widening of existing road on Sy. No. 78/2 in Village Panchayat Rachol.

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of the Section 5A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the schedule hereto is needed for the public purpose specified above (hereinafter referred to as 'the said land').

Now therefore, the Government hereby declares, under Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

The Government also hereby appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Deputy Collector (L.A.), Collectorate South Goa, Margao to perform the functions of the Collector, for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land and directs him under Section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the Deputy Collector (L.A.), Margao-Goa till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Salcete		Village: Rachol
Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3

78/2	1. Minguel Figueiredo. 2. Josefina Vaz. 3. Caetano Jesus Vaz. 4. Santana Isabela Cardozo. 5. Rosario Vaz. 6. Lilly Cyna Fernandes. 7. Thereza Gomes. 8. Edris Cruz Lopes. 9. Constantino Gomes. 10. Anastasia Rodrigues alias Inacina Gomes. 11. The Village Panchayat of Rachol. 12. Francisca Alemiao. 13. Felix Piedade Vaz. OR 1. House occupied by Josepa Alemiao (768).	247
------	---	-----

Boundaries :

North: Road, S. No. 78/2.

South: S. No. 78/2.

East : S. No. 78/2, 3.

West : S. No. 78/2, 2-E.

Total: 247

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I)
(Link).

Porvorim, 6th December, 2013.

Notification

No. 23/34/2013-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. Land Acquisition for construction of road bridge at Balli in Quepem Constituency on Balli, Fatorpa MDR road at Balli, Quepem.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act the Special Land Acquisition Officer, GSIDC, Ltd., Panaji-Goa to perform the functions of a Collector, under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao-Goa.
2. The Special Land Acquisition Officer, GSIDC, Ltd., Panaji-Goa.

3. The Executive Engineer, XXV(R), PWD, Fatorda, Margao-Goa.

4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Special Land Acquisition Officer, GSIDC, Ltd., Panaji-Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Quepem		Village: Balli
Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
74/1 part	Krishna Nilu Phal Dessai.	425
74/2 part	Dattu Nilu Naik Dessai.	180
	Balsu Vithoba Phal Dessai.	
	Pundalik Vithobha Phal Dessai.	
	Vithal Gurguro Phal Dessai.	
	Parnanand S. Audhi.	
	Suresh S. Audhi.	
	Krishna Nilu Phal Dessai.	
	Premavati Vishnu Phal Dessai.	
	O.R. House belongs to	
	Balsu Vithobha Phal Dessai.	
74/4 part	Dattu Nillu Naik Dessai.	390
	Balsu Vithobha Phal Dessai.	
	Narayan Manju Phal Dessai.	
	Pundhalik Vithobha Phal Dessai.	
	Vithal Gurguro Phal Dessai.	
	Krishna Nilu Phal Dessai.	
	Premavati Vishnu Phal Dessai.	
	O.R. House belongs to	
	Jayashri Krishna Phal Dessai & Sunanda Alies Chandraicala Vinayak Sawant.	
78/1 part	Raghuvir Pandhari Sinai Audi.	270
78/2 part	Prabhakar Jiva Phal Dessai.	490
	Rama Krishna Phal Dessai.	
	Prasad Guno Phal Dessai.	
	Govind Modu Dessai.	
	Tulshi Laxman Dessai.	
78/3 part	Anant Ganesh Phal Dessai.	10
	Umesh Purshottam Phal Dessai.	
	Suresh Sawant.	
	Rama Krishna Phal Dessai.	
	Radha Laximan Phal Dessai.	
	Prasad Guno Phal Dessai.	

1	2	3
	Ram Krishna Vishnu Phal Dessai. Atul Prabhakar Fall Dessai. Rajesh Prabhakar Fall Dessai. Raj Gopal Prabhakar Fall Dessai. Sulbha Prabhakar Fall Dessai. Sudhakar Govind Fall Dessai. Suresh Govind Fall Dessai. Dinesh Govind Fall Dessai. Kalpana Vithobha Fall Dessai. Shivanand Balsu Fall Dessai (34) Pandurang Ganapati Chalvekar. Shalini Ganapati Bhomkar. Geeta Harichandra Shelwarkar alias Hari dha Mohan Fatarpekar. Mukund Yessu Fadte Gaonkar. Jivottam Laximan Naik Dessai. Sumant Kanoba Naik Baireli. Vishwajit Vasant Naik Tubki. Narendra Phal Dessai. Jaganath Mahadev Shirodkar. Renuka Vassudev Fal Dessai. Sandesh Shivaji Raikar. Namrata Nagesh Shahapurkar. Ramu Narayan Veer. Puja Purshottam Naik Dessai. Uday Bhikaro Naik. Uday Chandru Kudalker. Rusha Rupesh Mulve. (O.R.A) <i>Cowshed belongs to</i> Govind Molu Phaldessai. B) <i>Hut belongs to</i> Babaji Naik. C) 1. Anant Ganesh Phaldessai. 2. Nagesh Ganesh Phaldessai. 3. Suresh Sawant. D) <i>Shop belongs to</i> Nagesh Ganesh Phaldessai. E) <i>Hut and cowshed belongs to</i> Anant Ganesh Phaldessai.	
	<i>Boundaries :</i> North: Road, Nallah. South: S. No. 78/2, 3, Nallah. East : S. No. 74/1, 2, 4, S. No. 78/1, 2, 3. West : Road.	
		Total: 1765

By order and in the name of the Governor
of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-II).
Porvorim, 2nd December, 2013.

Notification

No. 22/35/2013-RD

Read: Notification No. 22/35/2013-RD dated
22-11-2013.

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is needed for public purpose, viz. Acquisition of land for Garbage Treatment Plant in Calangute & Saligao village of Bardez Taluka.

2. And whereas in the opinion of the Government, there is urgency in the present case for acquisition of land since the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 13-08-2013 passed in Writ Petition No. 2/2007, has directed the Government to set up sanitary land fill within a period of one year. There is urgent need for the Government to set up land fill sites and garbage treatment plant to prevent further environmental degradation such as ground water contamination, degradation of land air pollution including health hazards. The setting up of such garbage treatment plant involves obtaining of various permissions under prevailing Acts & Rules and also following codal formalities. Hence, the Government is of the opinion that provisions of sub-section (1) of Section 17 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") are applicable to this acquisition of land.

3. Now therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the said Act, that the said land is needed for the purpose specified above.

4. The Government further directs under sub-section (4) of Section 17 of the said Act that the provisions of Section 5-A of the said Act shall not apply in respect of the said land.

5 All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this notification, will under clause (seventh) of Section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

6. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under Section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

7. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act the Dy. Collector/SDO, Mapusa, Sub-Division, Mapusa to perform the functions of a Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa under the said Act in respect of the said land.

8. The Government also authorizes under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji-Goa.
2. The Dy. Collector/SDO, Mapusa, Sub-Division, Mapusa, Goa.
3. The Director, Department of Science, Technology and Environment, Saligao, Bardez-Goa.
4. The Director of Settlement and Land Records, Panaji-Goa.

9. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector/SDO, Mapusa, Sub-Division, Mapusa, Goa for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Bardez *Village:* Calangute

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Area in sq. mts.
1	2	3

47/1 (part)	<i>Name of the Occupant:</i> 138500	
	O: Comunidade of Calangute.	
	<i>Other Rights:</i>	
	O/R: 1. Cashew trees on rent for Rs. 63/- for 12 yrs. with Gangaram Vasudev Korgaokar.	
	O/R: 2. Cashew trees on rent for Rs. 24/- for 12 yrs. with Sitaram Yeshwant Naik.	

1	2	3
	3. Cashew trees on rental basis with a Heraldo Rosario D'Souza.	

Total: 138500

Taluka: Bardez

Village: Saligao

112/1 (part)	<i>Name of the Occupant:</i>	
	O: Comunidade of Saligao.	27120
114/1 (part)	<i>Name of the Occupant:</i>	
	O: Comunidade of Saligao.	1945
115/1 (part)	<i>Name of the Occupant:</i>	
	O: 1. Comunidade of Saligao.	60
	O: 2. The State Remote Sensing Centre.	
116/1 (part)	<i>Name of the Occupant:</i>	
	O: 1. Comunidade of Saligao.	305
	O: 2. Antonio Francisco D'Mello.	
	O: 3. Timoteo Adolfo D'Mello.	
	O: 4. Belmira Eulalia D'Mello.	
	O: 5. The State Remote Sensing Centre.	
	O: 6. Chief Electrical Engineer, Panaji.	
117/1 (part)	<i>Name of the Occupant:</i>	
	O: 1. Comunidade of Saligao.	565

Total: 29995

Boundaries :

North : Saligao S. No. 112, 116, 117.
Calangute S. No. 47, 49.

South : Saligao, S. No. 113, 115.
Calangute S. No. 50.

East : Saligao S. No. 112, 116, 117.
Calangute S. No. 49,
Village Boundary Saligao.

West : S. No. 112, 114.
Calangute S. No. 47,
Village Boundary Calangute.

Grand Total: 168495

This issues in supersession of earlier Notification No. 22/35/2013-RD dated 22-11-2013.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-I) (Link).

Porvorim, 10th December, 2013.

Corrigendum

No. 23/21/2012-RD

Read: Government Corrigendum/Addendum No. 23/21/2012-RD dated 12-09-2013 regarding Land Acquisition for conservation Reserve at Galgibag Poinguinim for Turtle Nesting, published in the Official Gazette No. 25 Series II dated 19-09-2013.

In the Corrigendum/Addendum appended to the above cited No. 23/21/2012-RD dated 12-09-2013, at Sr. No. 2 (a) only the area "12260 sq. mtrs" shall be read as "122260 sq. mtrs."

The rest of the contents of Notification shall remain unchanged.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Revenue-II).

Porvorim, 9th December, 2013.

◆◆◆

Department of Science, Technology & Environment

—

Order

No. 3-203-2012/STE-DIR/1149

Dr. Nitin Sawant, Member Secretary, Goa State Bio-diversity Board, shall hold charge of the post of Member Secretary, Goa State Council for Science and Technology, in addition to his own duties, thereby relieving the undersigned of the additional charge of Goa State Council for Science and Technology with immediate effect.

The undersigned stands relieved from holding additional charge of the post of Goa State Council for Science and Technology with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Levinson J. Martins, Director & ex officio Joint Secretary (STE).

Saligao, 2nd December, 2013.

◆◆◆

Department of Tourism

—

Order

No. 1/1(29)/2013-DT/3923

Pursuance to Order No. 1/2(63)/2013/2013-DT/3909 dated 19-11-2013, Smt. Pamela Mascarenhas,

Dy. Director of Tourism is hereby transferred and posted at South Zone Office at Margao and Shri Arvind B. Khutkar, Dy. Director of Tourism (Admn.-II) shall hold additional charge of the Office of Dy. Director of Tourism, North Zone Office, Panaji.

Nikhil U. Desai, Director of Tourism.

Panaji, 28th November, 2013.

◆◆◆

Department of Transport

Directorate of Transport

—

Order

No. D.Tpt/EST/1668/2013/4491

In exercise of powers conferred under Section 5(1) and 5(2) of the Right to Information Act, 2005, the Asstt. Director of Transport (South) Dharbandora is designated as a State Public Information Officer and State Assistant Public Information Officer to provide information to persons requesting for information and to receive applications for information and appeals under this Act.

Further, in exercise of powers conferred under Section 19(1) of the said Act, the Dy. Director of Transport (South), Margao shall act as an Appellate Authority for Asstt. Director of Transport (South), Dharbandora in respect of appeals against order passed by the State Public Information Officer.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Arun L. Desai, Director & ex officio Addl. Secretary (Transport).

Panaji, 28th November, 2013.

Order

Ref. No.: D.Tpt/EST/244 (Part)/2013/4516

Read: 1) Order No. D.Tpt/EST/244/2012/4134 dated 04-12-2012.

2) Order No. D.Tpt/EST/244/2013/1991 dated 05-06-2013.

Government is pleased to further extend the ad hoc promotion of Shri Vishram J. Govekar as Deputy Director of Transport for a further period of 6 months w.e.f. 04-12-2013 to 03-06-2014 subject to the condition that the proposal for lifting of probation period is furnished before expiry of the extended period.

This is issued with the approval of the Government and concurrence of Goa Public Service Commission vide their letter No. COM/II/11/49(1)/2011/1676 dated 29-11-2013.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Arun L. Desai, Director & ex officio Addl. Secretary (Transport).

Panaji, 29th November, 2013.

Notification

No. 5/9/90-Tpt/2013/4524

In exercise of powers conferred by Clause (xii) of sub-rule (1) of Rule 22 of the Goa, Daman and Diu Motor Vehicles Tax Rules, 1974, the Government of Goa hereby exempts new vehicle Mahindra Bolero PLS 9STR bearing chassis No. MA1WG2GHKD6K47198 and Engine No. GHD4J62228 owned by M/s Nitya Seva Niketan, P. O. Rivona, via Quepem-Goa 403 705 from payment of Road Tax due to this State, being a charitable Institution.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Arun L. Desai, Director & ex officio Addl. Secretary (Transport).

Panaji, 2nd December, 2013.

Notification

No. 7/2/2008-TPT/4584

In pursuance of Article 66 of the Memorandum and Articles of Association of the Konkan Railway Corporation Ltd., and as per clause 6 (ix) of the Agreement entered into between the Government of India, Ministry of Railways and the Government of Goa, the Government of Goa hereby appoints Shri Parimal Rai, Principal Secretary (Transport & Health), Government of Goa, as Director on the Board of Directors of Konkan Railway Corporation

Ltd., to represent the State of Goa vice Shri R. K. Verma, Principal Secretary (Transport).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Arun L. Desai, Director & ex officio Addl. Secretary (Transport).

Panaji, 9th December, 2013.

Department of Women & Child Development

Directorate of Women & Child Development

Order

F. No. 1-229-2003-DW&CD/Sectt.Cell/part/7822

Government is pleased to promote Smt. Mala Gadkar, Child Development Project Officer to the post of District Programme Officer (Group B Gazetted) in the Pay Band—II, ₹ 9,300-34,800+ G. P ₹ 4,800/- purely on ad hoc basis initially for period of six months or till the post is filled on regular basis whichever is earlier.

On promotion, Smt. Mala Gadkar is posted as District Programme Officer (South), Margao against the vacant post thereby relieving Smt. Bharti Vernekar from the additional charge of post of District Programme Officer, South.

Smt. Mala Gadkar shall continue to hold the charge of CDPO, Salcete in addition to her own duties until further orders.

The expenditure in respect of the above post shall be debited to the Budget Head of Account "2235—Social Security and Welfare, 102—Child Welfare, 03—Intergreted Child Development Scheme including Health Cover (Plan) (A), 01—Salaries".

The above ad hoc promotion shall not bestow any substantive right for regular appointment.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sunil P. Masurkar, Director & ex officio Joint Secretary (Women & Child Development).

Panaji, 4th December, 2013.

www.goaprintingpress.gov.in

Published and Printed by the Director, Printing & Stationery,
Government Printing Press,
Mahatma Gandhi Road, Panaji-Goa 403 001.

PRICE—Rs. 22.00

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRINTING PRESS, PANAJI-GOA-272/380-12/2013.